

REVIEW

Creation of multimodal digital twins with reflexive AGI multilogic and multisensory

Evgeny Bryndin

Research Centre “Natural Informatic”, National Supercomputer Technological Platform, Novosibirsk, Russia



Correspondence to: Evgeny Bryndin, Research Centre “Natural Informatic”, National Supercomputer Technological Platform, Novosibirsk, Russia; Email: bryndin15@yandex.ru

Received: June 22, 2024;
Accepted: September 7, 2024;
Published: September 12, 2024.

Citation: Bryndin E. Creation of multimodal digital twins with reflexive AGI multilogic and multisensory. *Res Intell Manuf Assem*, 2024, 2(1): 85-93. <https://doi.org/10.25082/RIMA.2023.01.005>

Copyright: © 2024 Evgeny Bryndin. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits all noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Abstract: Reflexive AGI multilogic, multimodality and multisensors are the basis for the multidisciplinary development of intelligent digital twins. Multimodality is implemented in several formats: text, image, speech, formulas, etc. Multilogic is implemented according to several rules or methods or ways of working with knowledge and data, and the criterion for selecting the best result from all implementations. AGI multilogic carries out judgment, understanding, perception, comparison, analysis, choice, etc. Understanding is realized using the technology of unified objectification of the ontology of subject areas for the implementation of specific activities. Multisensor systems are combined groups of electro-optical, spectroscopic and holographic sensors, and combined series of sensors, such as a thermal imager, color camera, low-light camera, laser rangefinder, laser designator, laser, pointer-illuminator and others. Multisensory systems help monitor the psyche and performance of a person at the level of medical indicators of his physical condition in the process of joint activities with digital twins. Multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic and multisensory is good human assistant in many areas of activity.

Keywords: multimodal digital twin, reflexive AGI multilogic, multisensor systems, technology of unified objectification

1 Introduction

Multimodal digital twins with AGI multilogic and multisensory simulate human abilities such as thinking, perception, reflection, consciousness and intelligence. Consciousness is able to perceive, reflect and react to current events. Thinking intelligently and clearly connects elements of knowledge. Understanding is the perception of a thought and the clarification of its meaning. Perception of reality is the process of reflecting objects and phenomena and their properties by the senses. Reflection of reality is a subjective process of its recording with the help of sign systems. Subjective intelligence combines worldview, life attitudes and accumulated knowledge.

The information basis of a multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic is ontologies of subject areas. Many systems for constructing ontologies have been developed in the world for various fields of knowledge. Protégé is based on the OKBC (Open Knowledge Base Connectivity) knowledge representation frame model and is equipped with a number of plugins, which allows it to be adapted for editing models stored in different formats (standard text, in a JDBC database, UML, XML, XOL, SHOE, RDF and RDFS, DAML+OIL, OWL).

OntoEdit was originally developed at the AIFB (Institute of Applied Informatics and Formal Description Methods) at the University of Karlsruhe. Currently commercialized by Ontoprise GmbH, it performs the verification, review, coding and modification of ontologies. Currently, OntoEdit supports representation languages: FLogic, including an inference engine, OIL, RDFS extension and internal, XML-based serialization of the ontology model using OXML - OntoEdit's XML-based Ontology representation Language. The advantages of the tool can be attributed to ease of use; development of ontology using the methodology and the process of logical inference; development of axioms; There are two versions of OntoEdit: the freely redistributable OntoEdit Free (limited to 50 concepts, 50 relationships and 50 instances) and the licensed OntoEdit Professional (no size limit). Naturally, OntoEdit Professional has a wider range of functions and capabilities (for example, an output engine, a graphical query tool, more export and import modules, a graphical rules editor, support for JDBC databases, etc.).

OilEd is an offline graphical ontology editor developed at the University of Manchester as part of the European IST On-To-Knowledge project. The tool is based on the OIL language

(currently adapted for DAML+OIL, in the future - OWL), which combines the frame structure and expressiveness of Description Logics with reasoning services. This allowed for a clear and intuitive user interface style and the benefits of supporting reasoning (detection of logically inconsistent classes and hidden subclass relationships). Recently there has been an increase in the popularity of the OilEd editor. It is used for both teaching and research. The tool is freely distributed under the GPL public license.

WebOnto is designed for Tadzebao, an ontology exploration tool, and is designed to support collaborative browsing, creation and editing of ontologies. Its goals are ease of use, providing scalability for building large ontologies. WebOnto uses OCML (Operational Conceptual Modeling Language) to model ontologies. In WebOnto, the user can create structures, including classes with multiple inheritance, which can be done graphically. All slots are inherited correctly. The tool verifies newly entered data by checking the integrity of the OCML code. The tool has a number of useful features: saving structural diagrams, separate viewing of relationships, classes, rules, etc. Other features include collaboration between multiple users on the ontology, use of diagrams, send and receive functions, etc.

OntoSaurus is a Web browser for LOOM knowledge bases. It consists of two main modules: an ontology server and a Web browser for editing and viewing LOOM ontologies using HTML forms, providing a graphical interface for them. OntoSaurus also provides limited editing tools, but its main function is browsing ontologies. But to build complex ontologies you need to understand the LOOM language. Most users build an ontology in LOOM in another editor and then import it into OntoSaurus for viewing and editing. OntoSaurus implements all the features of the LOOM language. Automatic compatibility checking, deductive reasoning support, and several other functions are provided.

An ODE (Ontological Design Environment) ontology builder that communicates with users at a conceptual level, as opposed to tools like OntoSaurus that communicate at a symbolic level. The motivation for ODE was that it is easier for people to formulate ontologies at the conceptual level. ODE provides users with a set of tables to populate (concepts, attributes, relationships) and automatically generates code for them in LOOM, Ontolingua and FLogic. ODE forms part of the full life cycle methodology for ontology construction according to Methontology. The tool was further developed in WebODE, which integrates all ODE services into one architecture, stores its ontologies in a relational database, and provides additional services (inference engine, axiom construction, ontology collection, catalog generation).

KADS22 is a tool to support the design of knowledge models according to the CommonKADS methodology. Ontologies form part of such knowledge models (the other part is inference models). CommonKADS models are defined in CML (Conceptual Modeling Language). KADS22 is an interactive graphical interface for CML with the following functionality: CML file parsing, printing, hypertext browsing, searching, glossary generation and HTML generation. Further development within the DWQ (Data Warehouse Quality) project leads to the i.com tool, a tool to support the conceptual stage of an integrated information systems project. i.com uses the Extended Entity-Relationship Model (EER) with the constraints of multidimensional aggregation and intermediate schemas. The i.com tool is fully integrated with a powerful DL-based reasoning server. i.com serves primarily for intelligent conceptual modeling. PROMPT is an addition to the Protégé system, implemented as a plugin, used to combine and group ontologies. When two ontologies are combined,

PROMPT generates a list of suggested operations. An operation could consist, for example, of combining two terms or copying terms into a new ontology. The user can perform an operation by selecting one of the proposed ones or by directly defining the operation. PROMPT performs the selected operation and any additional changes caused by that operation. Then the list of proposed operations is modified and a list of conflicts and possible solutions to these conflicts is created. This is repeated until the new ontology is ready.

Chimaera is an interactive federation tool based on the Ontolingua ontology editor. Chimaera allows the user to combine ontologies developed in different formalisms. The user can request analysis or guidance from Chimaera at any time during the merging process, and the tool will direct him to those places in the ontology where his intervention is required. In its proposals, Chimaera mainly relies on which ontology the concepts come from, based on their names. Chimaera leaves the decision of what to do to the user and does not make any suggestions on its own. The only taxonomic relationship that Chimaera considers is the subclass-superclass relationship. Chimaera is the closest to PROMPT. However, because it uses only the class hierarchy in its analysis, it misses many of the matches that PROMPT finds. These matches include proposals for merging slots with similar names that belong to the merged classes,

merging the domains of slots that have been merged, etc.

In OntoMerge, a merged ontology is a union of two original ontologies and a set of join axioms. The first step in the OntoMerge merging process is to translate both ontologies to a common syntactic representation in the language developed by the authors. The ontology engineer then defines connection axioms containing terms from both ontologies. The process of translating instances is as follows: all instances in the source ontologies are considered to be in the combined ontology. Then, based on the instructions in the source ontologies and the join axioms, the inference engine will make an inference, thus creating new data in the join ontology. OntoMerge provides tools for translating instance data into a merged ontology.

OntoMorph defines a set of transformation operators that can be applied to an ontology. A human expert then uses an initial list of pairs and source ontologies to determine a set of operators that should be applied to the source ontologies to resolve differences between them, and OntoMorph applies these operators. In this way, a set of operations can be performed in one step. However, the human expert receives no guidance other than the initial list of pairs.

The OBSERVER system uses DL to answer queries using multiple ontologies and mapping information between them. First, users define a set of interontological relations. The system helps to cope with this task by finding synonyms in the source ontologies. Once mappings are defined, users can formulate queries in DL terms using their own ontology. OBSERVER then uses the mapping information to formulate queries against the source ontologies. OBSERVER relies heavily on the fact that the descriptions in ontologies and queries are meaningful.

FCA-Merge is a method for comparing ontologies that have a set of common instances or a set of common documents annotated with concepts from the original ontologies. Based on this information, FCA-Merge uses mathematical methods from Formal Concept Analysis to produce a concept lattice linking the concepts of the source ontologies. The algorithm proposes equivalence relations and subclass-superclass. The ontology engineer can then analyze the result and use it as a guide to create a unified ontology. However, the assumption that the two ontologies being merged share a common set of instances or have a set of documents in which each document is annotated with terms from both sources is too rigid and in practice this situation rarely occurs. As an alternative, the authors propose using natural language processing techniques to annotate a set of documents with concepts from these two ontologies.

The ONION (ONtology composiTION) system is based on ontology algebra. Therefore, it provides tools for defining rules of articulation (connection) between ontologies. Articulation rules usually take into account only the relevant parts of the source ontologies. To propose a join, ONION uses both lexical and graph-based methods. The method of finding lexical similarity between concept names uses dictionaries and semantic indexing methods based on the location of a group of words in the text. Using knowledge and data ontologies, SingularityNET is implementing an international decentralized AGI project based on blockchain technology [1]. Building AGI for China is a nationwide initiative involving more than two thousand authors from various research institutes. Computing performance and energy-efficient hardware devices are one of the areas of AGI. AGIEval's performance is a good indicator of China's progress in AGI.

Currently, the automatic construction of ontology is recognized as the most effective means of formalizing and systematizing knowledge and data in subject areas [2,3]. The comprehensive combination of existing methodologies for automated construction of ontologies makes it possible to determine the meaning of the processed information from the subject area of knowledge and the intentions of users, and present them in a machine-processable form. Automation of determining the meaning of processed information and user intentions expands the scope of use of a multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic.

2 Functional aspects of multimodal digital twins with AGI multilogic

A multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic consists of multimodal self-organizing ensembles of software and hardware agents with artificial intelligence [4]. Logic, as a sequence of associative acts, is determined by the specifics of information. The sequence of associative acts of formula implementation is determined by algorithmic rules. The logic of justifying events by sentences of the language is implemented according to grammatical rules. The sequence of associative acts of implementing combinatorial problems is determined by design methods. Management logic is aimed at developing various solutions. To solve complex problems of

everyday life, AGI multilogic is activated, relying on various techniques.

A multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic explores subject areas of knowledge using different methods, methods and approaches. For this purpose, AGI multilogic selects information processing options [5–11]. The choice of method, method or approach is based on the correlation of the data of the current task with existing standards, rules and facts proven by science and practice. In practice, there are many methods, methods or approaches, each of which solves the current problem. The methodology for finding a solution to a problem is aimed at constructing a communicative chain of actions based on the formulation of the problem being solved.

Choosing method or approach for solving a current problem requires an analysis of the ontology of the subject area within the framework of the selected topic. Analysis of the ontology of the subject area within the chosen topic is carried out using a unified objectification. It is aimed at determining the semantic meanings, data and patterns of the subject area of knowledge that are relevant to solving a specific problem. Automated analysis of the domain ontology is carried out according to the methodology.

Methodology leads to methods, ways and strategies of studying the subject. It uses a system of criteria for organizing and constructing theoretical and practical activities. Methodology describes the characteristics of the study; reflects the logical structure of the problem being solved; shows the planned scheme for solving the problem (stages, phases, sections and methods of solving). Methods of solving are an assistant in building a logical scheme, following which the current task is implemented step by step. Methods of solving contain a set of actions, certain algorithms or a set of specific steps aimed at solving each stage, stage, section of a specific problem.

An objectified methodology based on the ontology of the subject area and the base of skills of a certain field of activity of multimodal self-organizing ensemble of software and hardware agents with artificial intelligence allows you to automatically find techniques for developing a solution. The set of multimodal parameters suitable specifically for the solution that will be used in this methodology is determined by the solution techniques. The processes of analyzing a subject area and objectifying its ontology can use several methodologies simultaneously, which will then be used to solve the problem at different stages, separately or reflexively. Reflection can be multi-level, process-based, evolutionary, decentralized, distributed, etc.

AGI multilogic uses objectified moral, social and business attitudes, norms and measures as semantic criteria when modeling consciousness. Reflexive AGI multilogic with objectified methodologies harmonizes multimodal ontologies of subject areas for interdisciplinary work with them.

2.1 Objectification of the domain ontology

Ontologies are a formal description of knowledge from a subject area, taking into account thematic rules and connections between elements, allowing automatic knowledge extraction. Ontologies serve for the systematic organization of knowledge, allowing one to discover new facts and identify the necessary relationships between elements. Ontology-based knowledge organization systems are already very common and used in many industries.

The ontology of the subject area consists of an ontology of knowledge and an ontology of reality. The ontology of reality reproduces those structural and characteristic connections and relations that are inherent in reality. The ontology of reality contains communicative sequences marked by characteristics of associative acts. This allows, in the process of characteristic automatic analysis of associative acts, to find similar associative acts of other communicative sequences that lead to a rational solution of target problems of one class.

The connections between the ontology of knowledge and the ontology of reality are objectified by semantic identity. Ontologies can be multi-level. When constructing an ontology, the following sequence of actions is distinguished: classification of basic concepts, selection of basic concepts, definition of relationships, a conceptual scheme of the ontology is formed as a connected complex of concepts, the ontology is supplemented with subject-specific implementations of classes (Individuals) and data that have a physical meaning, a linguistic component is formed.

The mathematical basis of ontology is the so-called descriptive logic (a branch of mathematics), which assumes that any information expressed in natural language can be represented as a chain of triplets. The ontology is represented as a graph, the vertices of which are entities, and the edges are relationships between entities. It is believed that any statement in natural language

can be represented in the form of simple sentences from which entities and relationships between them can be extracted. There are two main tools: RDF (Resource Description Framework) or OWL (Ontology Web Language). OWL allows you to further describe logical rules over data. Ontologies (unlike conventional databases) allow you to find hidden data. Conventional databases are well suited for searching for specific information, and knowledge bases are needed where it is necessary to identify new knowledge, for example, in decision support systems.

The power of ontology is manifested if the relationships between its elements are described in detail and qualitatively, using the mathematical apparatus of descriptive logic. For example, for relations you can set their properties (functional, transitive, reflexive). And then you can automatically extract facts from the ontology, this process is called reasoning, there are standard reasoning algorithms based on graphs. Possible applications: clarifying the characteristics of an object and identifying a unique one from a set of similar objects, searching for similar objects, “understanding text” and assigning text to a specific class, assistance in NLP tasks (NER, Relation Extraction), root cause analysis, identifying patterns in data. Reasoning is supported by the ontology editor.

Automated creation of ontologies is carried out based on existing knowledge bases. The tools used contain various methods for working with ontologies. Each ontology has a unique IRI (Internationalized Resource Identifier). The mathematical support of a multimodal self-organizing ensemble of agents with artificial intelligence makes it possible to write triplets, that is, triplets of the “subject-predicate-object” type. The Entities section allows you to describe subjects and objects. An ontology is constructed as a hierarchical structure of classes related by concepts. In ontology, properties have an independent nature; a property is separable from a class. For predicates, you can set different properties, for example: functional; back; transitive. Based on the described ontology, you can use a reasoner that produces solutions based on the found properties, connections and entity-oriented dictionary.

Let S be an orthographic dictionary, where $S = \{ S_i \}$, S_i is a morphological word. The word S_i names the feature Q_{ij} of the representative M_{ij} from the set M_i , where $M_i = \{ M_{ij} \}$. Let us denote the lexical meaning of the word S_i by $\{ M_{ij}, Q_{ij}, S_i \}$. The connection between the lexical meanings of the words $\{ S_i \}$ and the elements of the set M_i is defined by a set of feature relations Q_i , where $Q_i = \{ Q_{ij}, (M_i, M_{ij}) \}$.

A set of lexical meanings connected by a set of attribute relations with representatives is an entity-oriented dictionary. Words in the dictionary are supplied with attribute indices according to their attribute relations with representatives. An entity-oriented dictionary records the attribute entities of representatives. The dictionary helps to use words with their semantic meaning and distinguish the representatives they name.

Words are used based on attribute indices. Each attribute has three indices. One index indicates the subject area of knowledge, the second attribute indicates the situation, the third attribute indicates the situational moment. Words with several semantic meanings have several sets of indices. Each set of indices determines the semantic meaning of the word.

2.2 Functional and structural aspects of the multisensory system

Various modern multi-sensor monitoring systems operate with spectroscopic, holographic, optical, sound and electronic sensors and use a variety of segmentation and tracking algorithms. The functional and structural aspects of a multi-sensor system for monitoring and interpreting heterogeneous data are considered, which will help in the development and design for creating a wide range of applications for monitoring and interpreting data that allow interaction with multi-modules. It is now common to work with distributed systems in which multiple sensors interact to track objects of interest [12–16]. It is clear that any new approach to intelligent monitoring and interpretation must be adaptive when considering the implementation of an ever-increasing and wider range of sensors. Therefore, modern monitoring systems must combine several heterogeneous distributed structures and use universal segmentation and tracking algorithms.

The multisensor system is designed as a distributed multi-layer architecture. In this way, the synergy between the user and the environment is increased. Monitoring systems consist of multiple sensors covering wide areas, grouped in processing nodes that provide high scalability and reliability. The nodes perform real-time monitoring to alert the operator to pre-detected specific events. A distributed multi-tier system consists of a central node and a set of remote nodes. Remote nodes are responsible for data collection and lower-level processing (such as segmentation and tracking), while the central node collects dynamic information and performs higher-level processing tasks.

A multi-logic, multi-modal ensemble of intelligent agents is isolated from the user interface to provide independent monitoring and control. To provide greater flexibility. This is necessary not only to meet the needs of monitoring and interpretation systems, but also to incorporate existing algorithms or newly developed ones. Functionality is provided by various modules that make up the layers of the multisensory system structure. This way, new or existing functionality can be easily incorporated into the framework, not only for developers but also for users. Each layer is implemented as advanced modules, operating from a lower level—data collection or communication with nodes—to higher levels such as tracking, classification, or dynamic detection. The extension views the structure as a combination of extended modules of a multisensory system. Each module focuses on one level of the proposed data monitoring and interpretation framework. Before describing each level of the structure in detail, it is necessary to describe the execution model. The execution model follows a hybrid distributed design, where remote nodes perform process-level processing and a central node is responsible for collecting and merging data and performing high-level processing.

The acquisition layer directly interfaces with digital analog devices through measurements from the physical world. In this case, we mean data from sensors, as well as data from other sources of information (database, knowledge base, etc.). The data acquisition layer also performs information preprocessing. The data fusion layer combines data from sensors to improve the quality of information (more complete and accurate). Data fusion algorithms also work with a variety of spectral, holographic and optical images. The object classification level is particularly important for good dynamics analysis because it provides knowledge about what the object is. In addition, object classification provides information about the orientation of objects.

The object tracking layer is responsible for matching the coordinates of image objects to the real picture. Thus, it computes the trajectories followed by moving objects within a scenario, regardless of the specific sensor that detected them. It also makes predictions about the future positions of objects based on previously detected trajectories. Information from the general model, sensor situations and their coverage range is used. The event detection layer generates semantic information related to the behavior of objects in a scenario. This is the last layer of the structure stored in remote nodes. The remaining layers are implemented in the central node. In a multi-sensor monitoring and interpretation system, multiple sensors monitor a common scenario, events generated from different sources. This is why the event fusion layer is needed to unify the information coming from the various sensory data generated in the previous layer. The final layer of the framework, activity detection, is responsible for analyzing and detecting activities already associated with temporal features. After merging the events, the current layer has a better idea of what is happening in the scenario according to the detected events. Therefore, actions detected at this level can be translated into scripted actions, providing a higher level.

A multi-level multimodal helps to coordinate the meanings of the ontology of the subject area of the multimodal digital double and its Agi multi-mental intentions and motives of the user, interlocutor or team. Improving multimodal systems will allow you to develop and create multimodal digital doubles with AGI multi-unit for scientific applied studies in various subject fields, for researching the safety of industrial processes, for researching the intentions and motives of various groups during mass events, for the study of disasters and various natural processes.

The multisensory system is involved in the emotional speech animation of the humanoid digital twin. It is provided with a set of emotional facial expressions, the templates of which are stored in its memory. It learns emotional speech based on its content. The animation of the emotions of the humanoid digital twin is carried out by its corresponding facial expressions in accordance with the content of its speech. Through the multisensory system, it reacts to the emotional facial expressions of people based on the facial expression templates that are in its memory. The humanoid digital twin records the emotional facial expression of the interlocutor, compares it with the facial expression templates, and adjusts its emotional meaningful speech based on the matching template. The multisensory system also reacts to the emotional meaningful speech of the interlocutors. The coordination of the facial expressions of the humanoid digital twin and the interlocutors, their emotional speech meanings is carried out by its neural network processor.

3 Conclusion

Multimodal digital twins with AGI multi-logic and multi-sensory capabilities can be safely used in many areas of activity as human assistants, especially in harmful and dangerous jobs, for example, those related to radiation, chemistry, etc. This requires standardization of the

security of knowledge of domain ontologies and the mental intentions and motivations of the skill base [17]. This allows a multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic and multisensory capabilities to work confidently with subject knowledge ontologies by analogy, similarity, deduction and induction.

Japanese private companies have created several multimodal multisensory systems with artificial intelligence for Japanese schools and universities. The Konica Minolta system is capable of analyzing the mental reaction of students to the material presented, and can collect data on the level of concentration of students and active participation in the lesson. The system from Techno Horizon is designed to analyze the emotional state of each student. The artificial system helps determine which students are excited, which students are stressed or bored, and which students are focused on the lecture. Intelligent systems monitor the progress and effectiveness of schoolchildren's and students' learning, and provide recommendations to teachers during the learning process.

Multimodal digital twins with AGI multi-logic and multi-sensory capabilities make it possible to model adaptive skills and imitate the human psyche by imitation at the model, virtual, information and program levels. They will be able to possess a fundamental human ability - to understand the intentions of other people. Cognitive imitation of the human psyche contributes to social learning and the accumulation of psychological experience. Cognitive imitation of consciousness, developed according to the cognitive rules of information integration, allows us to move on to modeling. The consciousness of multimodal digital twins with AGI multilogic and multisensorics is modeled by information integration.

One of the most discussed, but also highly regarded, theories related to consciousness is the Integrative Information Theory of Consciousness, developed by Giulio Tononi, a neuroscientist at the University of Wisconsin. The theory states that consciousness arises when systems are able to take in information and integrate or combine it. Tononi argues that the perception of integrated information gives rise to consciousness. According to this theory, everything comes down to integration. This means that any system, not necessarily biological, can be conscious, and its functionality can tell us how conscious it is. Christof Koch is a neuroscientist at the Allen Institute and the Tiny Blue Dot Foundation, former president of the Allen Institute for Brain Science, and former professor at the California Institute of Technology. He is the supporter of the integrated information theory, which states that everything is essentially endowed with informational properties. He postulates that consciousness is a fundamental informational characteristic of the Universe. The integrated information theory proves the integrative formation of consciousness [18].

Objectified theoretical aspects, proposed by the author, allow us to form a model of a humanoid multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic and multisensorics, for its implementation on the basis of ensembles of intelligent software and hardware agents, as a participant in the life of society [4]. Objectified theoretical aspects help the AGI digital twin to explain every step of decision-making. Thus, they endow it with reflexive artificial consciousness. The artificial reflexive consciousness of a multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic and multisensorics is formed by developers for specific types of activity. Reflexive artificial consciousness is relevant in connection with the intensive development of artificial intelligence technologies and the increasing complexity of the technological environment of humanity, including intelligent artificial agents and decision-making systems.

Reflexive activity plays a key role in improving the consciousness of AGI digital twins. Stimulating and supporting reflexive activity is relevant in non-standard cases to ensure decision-making processes in problem situations. Reflexive activity is defined by a system of ontologies that ensures assembly into a whole, which includes ontologies for ensuring life activity, overcoming life activity discontinuity points, strategic goal setting, developing strategies and projects, implementing and innovative support for strategies and projects.

The education sector is becoming one of the most relevant for the application of AGI digital twins in supporting reflexive activity. They can assess the current situations of educational activity, stimulating the search for solutions through reflexive communicative coordination. According to the formulation of problems, the methods of finding a solution are objectified. They allow applying a general approach to managing methods. Associative chains of objectified methods explain each step of the solution. The program for managing methods acts as a reflexive consciousness of the AGI training system. It can accompany the solution of the problem with a reflexive chain of objectified methods that explains each step of the solution.

The creation of training AGI systems with reflexive consciousness will require training in

reflexive objectification of methods for finding solutions for a class of problems according to their formulations. This will help develop a common approach to finding solutions to a class of problems, to the reflexive management of the application of methods, to the formation of reflexive AGI multilogic, that is, to the formation of artificial reflexive consciousness.

Communication between specialists from a multimodal digital twin with AGI multilogic, multisensory and reflexive consciousness is carried out through its generative intelligence system, neural interfaces, fiber optic networks and other communication means and bioinformation systems [19–21]. The key role of reflexive consciousness in Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and its most important function of AGI goals is to prevent potential existential threats to humanity [22, 23]. Aligning conscious AGIs based on their reflexive capabilities with the goals of humanity presents new areas of research that have not yet been explored [24, 25].

AGI network with multimodal digital twins with AGI multilogic, multisensory and reflexive consciousness is a universal structure for increasing its intelligence and reflexive consciousness by specialists of various profiles, as well as for reducing security [26].

Conflict of interest

The author declares there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Sazan P. What is SingularityNET (AGIX) and how does it work? 2024. <https://atomicwallet.io>
- [2] Arora A, Singh M, Chauhan N. Automatic Ontology Construction using Conceptualization and Semantic Roles. *International Journal of Information Retrieval Research*. 2017, 7(3): 62-80. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijir.2017070104>
- [3] Shishenkov MA. Automation methods for ontology resource work. *Ontology of Designing*. 2024, 14(2): 256-269. <https://doi.org/10.18287/2223-9537-2024-14-2-256-269>
- [4] Bryndin E. Creation of Multi-purpose Intelligent Multimodal Self-Organizing Safe Robotic Ensembles Agents with AGI and cognitive control. *COJ Robotics & Artificial Intelligence (COJRA)*. 2020, 3(5): 1-10.
- [5] Evgeniy Bryndin. Formation of Technological Cognitive Reason with Artificial Intelligence in Virtual Space. *Britain International of Exact Sciences (BioEx) Journal*. 2020, 2(2): 450-461. <https://doi.org/10.33258/bioex.v2i2.222>
- [6] Potapov A, Bogdanov V. Univalent Foundations of AGI are (not) All You Need. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2022: 184-195. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93758-4_19
- [7] Bringsjord S, Govindarajulu NS, Slowik J, et al. PERI.2 Goes to PreSchool and Beyond, in Search of AGI. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2023: 178-187. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19907-3_17
- [8] Bryndin E. Cross-Platform Collaboration with Help Virtual Digital Thinking of Technological Mind with Artificial Intelligence. *Acta Scientific Computer Sciences*. 2022, 4(12): 8-12.
- [9] Kolonin A, Glushchenko A, Fokin A, et al. Adaptive Predictive Portfolio Management Agent. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2023: 187-196. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-33469-6_19
- [10] Slavin BB. An architectural approach to modeling artificial general intelligence. *Heliyon*. 2023, 9(3): e14443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14443>
- [11] Proceedings of AGI-24, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. In press.
- [12] Examples of Multi-Sensory Technology. 2014. <https://www.trendhunter.com>
- [13] Cornelio P, Velasco C, Obrist M. Multisensory Integration as per Technological Advances: A Review. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2021, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.652611>
- [14] What Is Multisensory Processing And Why Is It Important? <https://www.timesmojo.com>
- [15] Aston S, Nardini M, Beierholm U. Different types of uncertainty in multisensory perceptual decision making. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2023, 378(1886). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0349>
- [16] Shanmugavadivu P, Tsai HH, Chakravarthi BR. Recent Trends in Multisensory Systems for Smart Agriculture. *Agronomy Research*. 2024, Special Issue II.

- [17] Bryndin EG. International Standardization of The Security of Knowledge and Skills of Artificial Intelligence. Collection of proceedings of the XIII International Scientific Conference, IT - STANDARD 2024. Prospekt Publishing House, 2024, 23-30.
- [18] Koch C. Why Consciousness is Fundamentally Distinct from Intelligence. 17th International Conference, AGI 2024, Seattle, WA, USA.
- [19] Bryndin E. Communication of Internal Speech with Communicative Associative Robot via Spectral Neurointerface. *Electrical Science & Engineering*. 2021, 3(1): 16-22.
<https://doi.org/10.30564/ese.v3i1.3255>
- [20] Bryndin E. Cognitive Resonant Communication by Internal Speech Through Ethereal Medium at Level of Gravitational Waves. *Journal of Progress in Engineering and Physical Science*. 2023, 2(4): 44-53.
<https://doi.org/10.56397/jpeps.2023.12.07>
- [21] Bryndin E. Development of Artificial Intelligence for Library Activity and Industrial and Social Robotization. Chapter of book "Application of Artificial Intelligence in Library Services". Springer, 2024.
- [22] Tait I, Bensemann J, Nguyen T. Building the Blocks of Being: The Attributes and Qualities Required for Consciousness. *Philosophies*. 2023, 8(4): 52.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/philosophies8040052>
- [23] Tait I, Bensemann J. Clipping the Risks: Integrating Consciousness in AGI to Avoid Existential Crises. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2024: 176-182.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65572-2_19
- [24] Goertzel ZA. Beneficial AGI: Care and Collaboration Are All You Need. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2024: 84-88.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65572-2_9
- [25] Stenseke J. On the computational complexity of ethics: moral tractability for minds and machines. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. 2024, 57(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10732-3>
- [26] Kaplan CA. A Collective Intelligence Approach to Safe Artificial General Intelligence. *Artificial General Intelligence*. Published online 2024: 109-118.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65572-2_12